Two-particle response using parquet equations

Anna Kauch

Petra Pudleiner, Tin Ribic, Clemens Watzenböck, Josef Kaufmann, Katharina Astleithner, Christian Eckhardt, Julian Mangott

Daniel Wieser, Julius Schnee, Stefan Rohshap, Samuel Badr,

Friedrich Krien, Markus Wallerberger, Karsten Held

FWF Österreichischer Wissenschaftsfonds



Institute of Solid State Physics, TU Wien



Outline

Introduction

- One-particle vs two-particle excitations
- Two-particle response functions
- Vertex corrections when important?
- Vertex corrections how to calculate?

Parquet equations

- Why parquet equations?
- Two-particle reducibility and parquet decomposition
- Optical excitations in systems with strong AFM/CDW fluctuations: π -tons

Challenges

Vertex corrections in real materials?

Solutions

Tiling with triangles (SBE diagrams) and sparse modeling

Summary and outlook

One-particle vs two-particle excitations

One-particle excitations - (inverse) photoemision



One-particle vs two-particle excitations

One-particle excitations – (inverse) photoemission



Two-particle excitations - optical conductivity



One-particle vs two-particle excitations









Two-particle response functions





When they are big

• Spin susceptibility of the 3d-Fe atoms and corresponding absorption spectra at $T \approx 232K$ (method: DFT + DMFT)



C. Watzenböck et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 086402 (2020)

When they are big

Optical conductivity for 8-site chains for different values of the local Coulomb interaction U (method: exact diagonalization)



When they lead to new, qualitatively distinct, features

Excitons in TM dichalcogenites



When they lead to new, qualitatively distinct, features

Excitons in TM dichalcogenites



When they lead to new, qualitatively distinct, features

Excitons in TM dichalcogenites



Li et al., PRB 90, 205422 (2014) Theory: Ridolfi et al., PRB 97, 205409 (2018) Gap reduction in SmTiO₃



When they lead to new, qualitatively distinct, features

Excitons in TM dichalcogenites



Li et al., PRB 90, 205422 (2014) Theory: Ridolfi et al., PRB 97, 205409 (2018) Gap reduction in SmTiO₃



How can we compute vertex corrections to response functions?

With numerically exact methods

- Exact diagonalization (small clusters)
- DMRG, Matrix Product States (1D or quasi-1D systems)
- Quantum Monte Carlo (small clusters or Anderson impurity model)

With diagrammatic methods

► RPA

$$\chi_m(q) = \chi_0(q) + \chi_0(q) U\chi_0(q) + \chi_0(q) U\chi_0(q) + \ldots = \frac{\chi_0(q)}{1 - U\chi_0(q)}$$

▶ GW + BSE, FLEX, TPSC,

- Impurity with a dynamical self-consistent bath represents the entire lattice system (DFT + DMFT)
- Impurity problem is solved with exact methods
- Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

How can we compute vertex corrections to response functions?

With numerically exact methods

- Exact diagonalization (small clusters)
- DMRG, Matrix Product States (1D or quasi-1D systems)
- Quantum Monte Carlo (small clusters or Anderson impurity model)



- Impurity with a dynamical self-consistent bath represents the entire lattice system (DFT + DMFT)
- Impurity problem is solved with exact methods
- Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

How can we compute vertex corrections to response functions?

With numerically exact methods

- Exact diagonalization (small clusters)
- DMRG, Matrix Product States (1D or quasi-1D systems)
- Quantum Monte Carlo (small clusters or Anderson impurity model)



- Impurity with a dynamical self-consistent bath represents the entire lattice system (DFT + DMFT)
- Impurity problem is solved with exact methods
- Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

Calculation of vertex corrections

With numerically exact methods

- Exact diagonalization (small clusters)
- DMRG, Matrix Product States (1D or quasi-1D systems)
- Quantum Monte Carlo (small clusters or Anderson impurity model)

With diagrammatic methods



- Impurity with a dynamical self-consistent bath represents the entire lattice system (DFT + DMFT)
- Impurity problem is solved with exact methods
- Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

Calculation of vertex corrections

With diagrammatic methods



- Impurity with a dynamical self-consistent bath represents the entire lattice system (DFT + DMFT)
- Impurity problem is solved with exact methods
- Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions. 2P response in DMFT

$$\chi_m(q) = \frac{\chi_0(q)}{1 - \Gamma_{imp}\chi_0(q)}$$



Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

$$\chi_m(q) = \frac{\chi_0(q)}{1 - \Gamma_{imp}\chi_0(q)}$$

▶ Violation of Mermin-Wagner theorem for 2D lattice – divergence of AFM susceptibility $\chi_m(q = (\pi, \pi))$ for $T_c > 0$



Can be cured by using parquet diagrams instead of only ladders – parquet DFA C. Eckhardt et al. Phys. Rev. B 101, 155104 (2020)

Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

$$\chi_m(q) = \frac{\chi_0(q)}{1 - {\sf \Gamma}_{imp}\chi_0(q)}$$

▶ Violation of Mermin-Wagner theorem for 2D lattice – divergence of AFM susceptibility $\chi_m(q = (\pi, \pi))$ for $T_c > 0$



Can be cured by using parquet diagrams instead of only ladders – parquet DFA C. Eckhardt et al. Phys. Rev. B 101, 155104 (2020)

Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

$$\chi_m(q) = \frac{\chi_0(q)}{1 - {\sf \Gamma}_{imp}\chi_0(q)}$$

▶ Violation of Mermin-Wagner theorem for 2D lattice – divergence of AFM susceptibility $\chi_m(q = (\pi, \pi))$ for $T_c > 0$



- Can be cured by using parquet diagrams instead of only ladders parquet DFA C. Eckhardt et al. Phys. Rev. B 101, 155104 (2020)
- By using additionally a sum rule λ-corrected ladder DΓA A. A. Katanin, A. Toschi, and K. Held Phys. Rev. B 80, 075104 (2009)
- By using χ₀(q) from a ladder DΓA calcualtion (and not DMFT) -

self-consistent ladder DFA

J. Kaufmann et al. Phys. Rev. B 103, 035120 (2021)

Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

Vertex corrections to optical conductivity



- Vanish for impurity vertex or for a ph-ladder constructed from impurity vertex (except for inter-orbital contributions)
- We need to construct a fully momentum dependent vertex F but not from ladder of $\Gamma^{ph} = \Gamma_{imp}$

Impurity vertices are used in lattice response functions

Vertex corrections to optical conductivity



- Vanish for impurity vertex or for a ph-ladder constructed from impurity vertex (except for inter-orbital contributions)
- We need to construct a fully momentum dependent vertex F but not from ladder of $\Gamma^{ph} = \Gamma_{imp}$
- We use the parquet equation for F with $\Lambda = \Lambda_{imp}$ from DMFT



The concept of irreducibility



One particle irreducibility – cutting one line



Two-particle irreducibility



Low order diagrams

a) fully irreducible



b) particle-hole (ph) reducible



c) particle-particle (*pp*) reducible



d) particle-hole vertical (\overline{ph}) reducible



Ladder diagrams and the Bethe-Salpeter equation





Bethe-Salpeter equation:



 $F = \Gamma_r + \Gamma_r (GG)_r F$

- the reducible vertex is then

 $\implies \Phi_r = \Gamma_r(GG)_r F$

F is given by contributions from irreducible vertices Λ_{imp} , Γ^{ph} , $\Gamma^{\overline{ph}}$, Γ^{pp} (parquet equation)



Bethe-Salpeter equation (BSE)



F is given by contributions from irreducible vertices Λ_{imp} , Γ^{ph} , Γ^{ph} , Γ^{pp} (parquet equation)



Bethe-Salpeter equations (BSE)



F is given by contributions from irreducible vertices Λ_{imp} , Γ^{ph} , Γ^{ph} , Γ^{pp} (parquet equation)



Bethe-Salpeter equations (BSE)



Full set of parquet equations

F is given by contributions from irreducible vertices Λ_{imp} , Γ^{ph} , Γ^{ph} , Γ^{pp} (parquet equation)





C. DeDominicis, P.C. Martin, J.Math.Phys. 5, 31 (1964), N. Bickers, Int.J.Mod.Phys.B 05, 253 (1991)

Full set of parquet equations:

Parquet equation

$$F = \Lambda + \Phi_{ph} + \Phi_{\overline{ph}} + \Phi_{pp}$$

Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$\Phi_r = \Gamma_r(GG)_r F$$

Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{HF} + U GGG F$$

and Dyson equation $G = [G_0^{-1} - \Sigma]^{-1}$

Input:

- Fully irreducible vertex A, (e.g. from DMFT)
- Non-interacting Green's function G₀ (from a model Hamiltonian or DFT)

C. De Dominicis, P. C. Martin, J. Math. Phys. 5, 31 (1964) N. Bickers, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 05, 253–270 (1991) K.-M. Tam et al. Phys. Rev. B 87, 013311 (2013)

G. Li, AK, P. Pudleiner, K. Held, Comp. Phys. Comm. 241, 146–154 (2019) C. Eckhardt, C. Honerkamp, K. Held, and AK, PRB 101, 155104 (2020) F. Krien, AK, and K. Held, PRR 3, 013149 (2021) F. Krien, AK, EPJB 95, 69 (2022)

Contributions to optical conductivity



Contributions to optical conductivity

• Parquet decomposition of χ_{jj}



Vertex corrections to optical conductivity

• Hubbard model, square lattice, half-filling, $\sigma(\omega)$ for different T from parquet DFA



Vertex corrections to optical conductivity



• What can we learn from parquet equations? Vertex corrections are dominated by conttibutions from transversal particle-hole diagrams at momentum (π, π)





k-k′≈π

AK et al., PRL 124, 047401 (2020)

Optical excitations in systems with strong AFM/CDW fluctuations

Interpretation:

• Light at q = 0 couples to magnetic or density fluctuations at q = (π, π)



k-k′≈π



Optical excitations in systems with strong AFM/CDW fluctuations

Interpretation:





 $SmTiO_3$???



Gössling et al., PRB 78, 075122 (2008)

Two-particle response for materials

Challenge : Memory! – scattering vertices of two particles depend on 4 spin-orbital indices, 3 momenta and 3 energies (frequencies)



For one orbital, 80 frequencies and 56 × 56 momentum slices a single vertex needs 240 Petabytes!

Full vertex F_m in the 2D Hubbard model on square lattice

 $F_m(\nu, \nu', \omega = 0)$ at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (0, 0)$ $F_m(\nu, \nu', \omega = 0)$ at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$ -5 0 -20-10-40-10-40-60-1520 -60-20-20-2020 -2020 ν' ν' v

- Each vertex is a function of 3 frequencies and 3 momenta
- There are also 4 spin indices. We use here so-called spin diagonal notation, i.e.

$$F_m = F_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} - F_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow}$$

- The parameters are U = 4t, T = 0.1t, n = 1
- Approximation for the fully irreducible vertex is $\Lambda = U$, i.e. parquet approx.
- The results were obtained with victory code for 6 × 6 momenta

G. Li, AK, P. Pudleiner, K. Held, Comp. Phys. Comm. 241, 146-154 (2019)

Full vertex F_m in the 2D Hubbard model on square lattice

-2

-4

-6

 $F_m(\nu, \nu', \omega = 0)$ at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (0, 0)$



 $F_m(\nu,\nu',\omega=20\pi T)$ at ${\bf k}={\bf k}'=(0,0)$ and ${\bf q}=(0,0)$





$$F_m(\nu, \nu', \omega = 20\pi T)$$
 at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$



Vertices in the 2D Hubbard model on square lattice

 $F_m(\nu,\nu',\omega=0) \text{ at } \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0,0) \text{ and } \mathbf{q} = (0,0)$

 $\Gamma_m(\nu, \nu', \omega = 0)$ at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$





$$\Phi_m(\nu, \nu', \omega = 0)$$
 at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}' = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$



Two-particle response for materials

Challenge : Memory! – scattering vertices of two particles depend on 4 spin-orbital indices, 3 momenta and 3 energies (frequencies)



For one orbital, 80 frequencies and 56 × 56 momentum slices a single vertex needs 240 Petabytes!

SOLUTIONS:

Momentum dependence reduced to only a few functions: form factors C. Eckhardt, C. Honerkamp, K. Held, and AK, PRB 101, 155104 (2020), T. Schäfer et al, PRX 11, 011058 (2021)

Smart reformulation with smaller frequency range (single-boson exchange) F. Krien, AK, and K. Held, PRR 3, 013149 (2021), F. Krien, AK, EPJB 95, 69 (2022)

Dimensionality reduction by using IR and QTTs (work in progress)
M. Wallerberger et al, PRR 3, 033168 (2021),
H. Shinaoka et al, PRX 13, 021015 (2023)





C. DeDominicis, P.C. Martin, J.Math.Phys. 5, 31 (1964), N. Bickers, Int.J. Mod.Phys.B 05, 253 (1991)





Tiling with triangles



F. Krien, AK , K. Held, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 013149 (2021), F. Krien, AK, EPJ B 95, 69 (2022)

Tiling with triangles

Parquet equation



Tiling with triangles – unifies parquet equations with ${\it GW}\gamma$

Advantages of triangles reformulation

- Clear connection to $GW\gamma$ method
- Physical interpretation in terms of boson-exchange diagrams: SBE vs MBE



MBE diagrams (M's) require much smaller frequency boxes, which allows for better momentum resolution (currently 16 × 16, F. Krien, AK, EPJ B 95, 69 (2022))



Boson-exchange decomposition of optical conductivity

• *M*'s are the multi-boson contributions (MBE), $\gamma W \gamma$'s are the single-boson contributions (SBE) in each channel (*ph*, *pp*)





Summary and outlook

Vertex corrections

- ▶ Two-particle response often requires taking into account vertex corrections
- DFT + DMFT or ladder DFA are very successful in computing two-particle response for materials
- For optical conductivity parquet equations are important

We found with parquet equations

- Important vertex corrections: π-tons
- π-ton contributions to optical conductivity should be universally present in materials with strong π-fluctuations (AFM, CDW)
- Still open question: Can simpler methods describe π -ton vertex corrections?

Outlook: New advances in the parquet method

Dimensionality reduction by using sparse modeling and/or tensor decomposition