2024 International Summer School on Computational Quantum Materials

LQSGW+DMFT and fully self-consistent GW+EDMFT

Sangkook Choi



sangkookchoi@kias.re.kr https://sites.google.com/view/sangkookchoi

Outline

- 1. Successes and challenges of DFT+DMFT
- 2. Introduction of GW+EDMFT
- 3. Approximation to GW+EDMFT: multitier scheme
- 4. Approximation to GW+EDMFT: partial self-consistency scheme
- 5. Full GW+EDMFT
- 6. Examples: SrVO3, NiO

1. Successes and challenges of DFT+DMFT

DFT + DMFT is a work horse methodology for correlated quantum materials



$$\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}, \tau = 0^{+}) \rightarrow \rho(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow v_{H} + v_{xc}$$

$$G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_{n}) = \left(G_{0}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_{n})^{-1} - v_{Hxc} - \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_{n}) - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp} = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} - \widetilde{G}_{imp}^{-1}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc}(i\omega_{n}) = \mathbb{P}(G(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_{n}))$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{eff}(\widetilde{U}) \rightarrow \widetilde{G}_{imp} \longleftarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}^{-1} + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}$$

$$\widetilde{U} \text{ and } \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC} \text{ should be determined by the choice of the projectors } (\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{E})$$

V. I. Anisimov, A. I. Poteryaev, M. A. Korotin, A. O. Anokhin, and G. Kotliar, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9, 7359 (1997). [2]
 A. I. Lichtenstein and M. I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B 57, 6884 (1998). [3] G. Kotliar, S. Y. Savrasov, K. Haule, V. S. Oudovenko, O. Parcollet, and C. A. Marianetti, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 865 (2006). [4] G. Kotliar and S. Y. Savrasov, arXiv:Cond-Mat/0208241 (2002). [5] R. M. Martin, L. Reining, and D. M. Ceperley, Interacting Electrons (Cambridge University Press, 2016). [6] R. Chitra and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 63, 115110 (2001). [7] S. Y. Savrasov and G. Kotliar, Spectral Density Functionals for Electronic Structure Calculations, Phys. Rev. B 69, 245101 (2004).

Numerous implementation (incomplete list)

DFT + embedded DMFT Functional^{*}

Developed by Kristjan Haule at Rutgers University, ©Copyright 2007-2020.

TRIQS/DFTTools: A TRIQS application for *ab initio* calculations of correlated materials *****

ONETEP + TOSCAM: Uniting Dynamical Mean Field Theory and Linear-Scaling Density Functional Theory

Edward B. Linscott, Daniel J. Cole, Nicholas D. M. Hine, Michael C. Payne, and Cédric Weber*

Cite This: J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2020, 16, 4899–4911

99–4911 😯 Read Online



1. COMSUITE

DCore

DCore = Integrated DMFT software for Correlated electrons.

RSPt

RSPt is a code for electronic structure calculations and its acronym stands for Relativistic Spin Polarized toolkit. RSPt offers a robust and flexible set of tools to calculate total energies, magnetic moments, band structures, Fermi surfaces and densities of states for all elements, and combinations thereof, over a wide range of volumes and structures.

DMFTwDFT: An open-source code combining Dynamical Mean Field Theory with various density functional theory packages^{*,**}

Vijay Singh ^{a,b,*}, Uthpala Herath ^b, Benny Wah ^a, Xingyu Liao ^a, Aldo H. Romero ^b, Hyowon Park ^a



AMULET

AMULET

A computational materials physics code for simulating correlated quantum materials using Dynamic Mean Field Theory (DMFT) and its extension. It can calculate the electronic structure within three different mathods:

HOME

The AMULET is a free software for scientific and/or educational purposes and it is distributed under FreeBSD License. To

description of a planned research has to be submitted to AMULET Developers Team. In the description one needs to ind

and explain shortly why conventional band structure methods do not work for your problems/compounds and what

expecting from DMFT. The source code will be e-mailed to you if it is suitable for your study

About AMULET

FEATURES

DOCUMENT

Numerous successes of DFT+DMFT (very incomplete list)



S. Y. Savrasov, G. Kotliar, and E. Abrahams, Nature 410, 793 (2001).
 A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 067205 (2001).
 K. Held, G. Keller, V. Eyert, D. Vollhardt, and V. I. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5345 (2001).
 Zhiping. P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Nature Materials 10, 932 (2011).
 Q. Han, T. Birol, and K. Haule, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 187203 (2018).
 K. Haule, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 041005 (2018).

What if nonlocal correlation is essential?

DFT + single-site DMFT: local (no k dependence) and dynamical (frequency-dependent) self-energy

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \simeq \widetilde{\Sigma}(i\omega_n)$$

Single-site DMFT, Hubbard model

Pseudo-gap in Cuprates



For the system where non-local (k-dependent) correlation is important, we need a tool beyond DFT + single site DMFT

[1] K. M. Shen, et al., Science 307, 901 (2005). [2] H. Park, Ph.D thesis

2. Introduction of GW+EDMFT

Functional approaches to quantum many-body problems



Brachistochrone curve



$$\frac{\delta T[y(x)]}{\delta y(x)} = 0$$

Free energy functional and Ψ functional (instead of density functional theory) Any two particle irreducible diagram in G and W, e.g. • Free Energy Functional $\Gamma[G, \Sigma, W, \Pi] = -\operatorname{Tr}[log(-G_H^{-1})] - \operatorname{Tr}[log(1 - G_H \Sigma)] - \operatorname{Tr}[G\Sigma] + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[log(1 - V\Pi)] + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[\Pi W] + \Psi[G, W].$ Fermionic Fermionic Bosonic

Stationary Condition

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta G} = 0 \to \Sigma = \frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta G} \qquad \qquad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta W} = 0 \to \Pi = -2\frac{\delta\Psi}{\delta W}$$
$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\Sigma} = 0 \to G = \left(G_H^{-1} - \Sigma\right)^{-1} \qquad \qquad \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\Pi} = 0 \to W = \left(V^{-1} - \Pi\right)^{-1}$$

[1] P. Sun and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 66, 085120 (2002). [2] S. Biermann, F. Aryasetiawan, and A. Georges, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 086402 (2003) [3]F. Nilsson, L. Boehnke, P. Werner, and F. Aryasetiawan, Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 043803 (2017).

10

Quantum embedding and projection

Divide and Conquer





Dynamical mean field theory provides a reference frame for correlated electrons



[1] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996) [2] G. Kotliar and D. Vollhardt, Physics Today 57, 53 (2004).

GW approximation provides a reference frame for itinerant electrons



$\Psi_{GW+EDMFT}$



[1] P. Sun and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 66, 085120 (2002). [2] S. Biermann, F. Aryasetiawan, and A. Georges, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 086402 (2003) [3]F. Nilsson, L. Boehnke, P. Werner, and F. Aryasetiawan, Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 043803 (2017).

Three different self-consistent loop in GW+EDMFT

$$\Sigma_{H} = GV$$

$$\sum_{GW} = -GW$$

$$\prod_{GW} = GG$$

$$G = \{G_{H}^{-1} - \Sigma_{GW} - \hat{E}^{f}(\Sigma_{EDMFT} - \Sigma_{DC})\}^{-1}$$

$$W = \{V^{-1} - \Pi_{GW} - \hat{E}^{b}(\Pi_{EDMFT} - \Pi_{DC})\}^{-1}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \hat{P}^{f}(G)$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{loc} = \hat{P}^{b}(W)$$

$$\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{W}_{loc}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{W}_{loc}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc}$$

$$Mon-local correlation within GW
Local correlation within EDMFT
$$\widetilde{\delta}_{G} = 0 \rightarrow P = 2GG + \frac{\delta\Psi_{EDMFT}}{\delta W} - 2\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{G}\widetilde{G})$$

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{GW+EDMFT}}{\delta P} = 0 \rightarrow W^{-1} = v^{-1} - P$$

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{GW+EDMFT}}{\delta G} = 0 \rightarrow \Sigma_{xc} = -GW + \frac{\delta\Psi_{EDMFT}}{\delta G} + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{G}\widetilde{W})$$

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma_{GW+EDMFT}}{\delta \Sigma} = 0 \rightarrow G^{-1} = G_{0}^{-1} - \Sigma_{H} - \Sigma_{xc}$$

$$\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{loc} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc}$$$$

3. Approximation to GW+EDMFT: multitier scheme

Simplification-I: multitier GW+EDMFT



[1] F. Petocchi, V. Christiansson, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. B 104, 195146 (2021). [2] F. Petocchi, V. Christiansson, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. X 10, 041047 (2020). [3] F. Petocchi, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013191 (2020). [4] L. Boehnke, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. B 94, 201106 (2016).

Simplification I: Multitier scheme GW+EDMFT in the intermediate space



^[1] F. Nilsson, L. Boehnke, P. Werner, and F. Aryasetiawan, Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 043803 (2017).

Application of multitier GW+EDMFT to real materials



[1] F. Petocchi, V. Christiansson, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. B 104, 195146 (2021). [2] F. Petocchi, V. Christiansson, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. X 10, 041047 (2020). [3] F. Petocchi, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013191 (2020). [4] L. Boehnke, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013191 (2020). [4] L. Boehnke, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013191 (2020). [4] L. Boehnke, F. Nilsson, F. Aryasetiawan, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. B 94, 201106 (2016).

4. Approximation to GW+EDMFT: partial self-consistency scheme

Important ansatz for simplification II: separable self-energy

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \simeq \widetilde{\Sigma}^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) + \widetilde{\Sigma}^{dyn}(i\omega_n) \to G^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = i\omega_n - \left(\frac{H_0 + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{non-local}(\mathbf{k})) + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{dyn}(i\omega_n))\right)}{= H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k})}$$

Validation on Fe-based superconductors quasiparticle bands

- Up to linear term in frequency

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \simeq \widetilde{\Sigma}(k, 0) + \left(1 - \widetilde{Z}^{-1}(\mathbf{k})\right) i\omega_n \qquad \qquad \widetilde{Z}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) = 1 - \left.\frac{\partial \Sigma(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)}{\partial (i\omega_n)}\right|_{i\omega_n = 0}$$

- If $\widetilde{Z}(\mathbf{k})$ is k-independent, self-energy is separable



1) $H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) = H^{LQSGW}(\mathbf{k})$ 2) Determine Z(k) by fitting ARPES spectra of LiFeAs

 $\sim \approx (1 \cdot 1)$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} Z_m(\Gamma) & Z_m(M) \\ xy & 0.21 \pm 0.01 & 0.18 \pm 0.01 \\ xz/yz & 0.38 \pm 0.01 & 0.30 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$

[1] J. M. Tomczak, M. van Schilfgaarde, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 237010 (2012). [2] J. M. Tomczak, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 592, 012055 (2015). [3] M. Kim, H. Miao, S. Choi, M. Zingl, A. Georges, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 103, 155107 (2021).

Simplification-I: partial self-consistency scheme



[1] F. Aryasetiawan et al., Phys. Rev. B 70, 195104 (2004). [2] S. Choi, et al., Npj Quantum Materials 1, 16001 (2016).[3] A. van Roekeghem, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 266403 (2014). [4] L. Sponzaet al., Phys. Rev. B 95, 041112 (2017). [5] D. Pashov et al., Computer Physics Communications 249, 107065 (2020).[6] J. M. Tomczak, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 592, 012055 (2015). [7] J. M. Tomczak et al., Phys. Rev. B 90, 165138 (2014).[8] C. Taranto et al., Phys. Rev. B 88, 165119 (2013). [9] F. Nilsson et al., Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 043803 (2017).

Ab initio LQSGW+DMFT



[1] J. M. Tomczak, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 592, 012055 (2015).

[2] S. Choi, A. Kutepov, K. Haule, M. van Schilfgaarde, and G. Kotliar, npj Quantum Materials 1, 16001 (2016).

[3] S. Choi, P. Semon, B. Kang, A. Kutepov, and G. Kotliar, Computer Physics Communications 244, 277 (2019).

- A simplification of full GW+EDMFT
- One-shot DMFT correction to ab initio LQSGW
- Interaction tensor and double-counting energy are calculated (within cRPA and local-GW)
- a parameter-free method
- Validation on charge transfer insulators, Fe-based superconductors, and narrow-gap correlated insulators

Hilbert space and its subspaces for LQSGW+DMFT



• F: full space - F= Tier-I \bigoplus Tier-II \bigoplus Tier-III - notation: A(r, r')

• L: Low-energy subspace defined by Wannier functions spanning an energy window (E $_{\rm F}\pm 10 eV$)

- notation: \bar{A}_{ij} , i,j=> Wannier functions

- C: correlated subspace
 - C=Tier-I
 - notation: \widetilde{A}_{ij} , i,j=> Wannier functions

Tier-I: one-shot DMFT correction to LQSGW Tier-II: LQSGW Tier-III: LQSGW

Basis set in the low-energy space



$$|n\mathbf{k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathbf{k}}}} \sum_{\mathbf{R},\tau} U_{n\tau}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} |\tau\mathbf{R}\rangle$$
$$|\tau\mathbf{R}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathbf{k}}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n} U_{n\tau}^{*}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} |n\mathbf{k}\rangle$$

- One way to construct orthonormal basis set of $|\tau{\bf R}\rangle$ from $|n{\bf k}\rangle$, or to determine $~U_{n\tau}({\bf k})$
 - \rightarrow by minimizing total spread

$$\Omega = \sum_{\tau \mathbf{R}} \langle \mathbf{r}^2 - \langle \mathbf{r} \rangle_{\tau \mathbf{R}}^2 \rangle_{\tau \mathbf{R}} \text{ , where } \langle A \rangle_{\tau \mathbf{R}} = \langle \mathbf{R} \tau | A | \mathbf{R} \tau \rangle$$

 \rightarrow Under the constraint that it preserves band eigenvalues in the inner (frozen) window $E_{n{\bf k}}$

- \bullet Our default choice of inner (frozen) window: ${\rm E_{F^{\pm}}}$ 10eV
- then a projector to correlated orbitals

$$f_k = \langle \mathbf{r} | \tau \mathbf{k} \rangle = \sum_n U_{n\tau}^*(\mathbf{k}) \langle \mathbf{r} | n \mathbf{k} \rangle$$

[1] N. Marzari, A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, I. Souza, and D. Vanderbilt, Maximally Localized Wannier Functions: Theory and Applications, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1419 (2012).

Nonlocal Hamiltonian 1: Linearized quasiparticle self-consistent GW (LQSGW)

$$Z^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = 1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma(\mathbf{k}^{c}, i\omega_{n})}{\partial(i\omega_{n})}\Big|_{i\omega_{n}=0}$$

$$H^{QP}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = \sqrt{Z(\mathbf{k}^{c})} \left(H_{0}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) + \Sigma(\mathbf{k}^{c}, \omega = 0)\right) \sqrt{Z(\mathbf{k}^{c})}$$

$$P_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, i\nu_{n}^{c}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{R}^{c}} \int_{0}^{\beta^{c}} d\tau G^{QP}(\mathbf{R}^{c}, \tau) \circ G^{QP}(-\mathbf{R}^{c}, -\tau) e^{-i(\mathbf{k}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{c} - \nu_{n}^{c} \tau)}$$

$$S_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, i\omega_{n}^{c}) = \left(i\omega_{n}^{c} - H^{QP}(\mathbf{k}^{c})\right)^{-1}$$

$$\Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, i\omega_{n}^{c}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{R}^{c}} \int_{0}^{\beta^{c}} d\tau G^{QP}(\mathbf{R}^{c}, \tau) \circ W(-\mathbf{R}^{c}, -\tau) e^{-i(\mathbf{k}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{c} - \omega_{n}^{c} \tau)}$$

$$W_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, i\omega_{n}^{c}) = \left(V^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) - P_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, i\omega_{n}^{c})\right)^{-1}$$

- •The developer: Andrey Kutepov
- •LAPW basis set
- •space-time methods (to avoid convolution)
- •calculation in a coarse Matsubara frequency grid (typical simulation temperature ~ 1000K)
- •calculation in a coarse momentum space grid (e.g. NiO: $6 \times 6 \times 6$)

[1]A. L. Kutepov, V. S. Oudovenko, and G. Kotliar, Computer Physics Communications 219, 407 (2017).
[2]A. Kutepov, S. Y. Savrasov, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 80, 041103 (2009).
[3]A. Kutepov, K. Haule, S. Y. Savrasov, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 85, 155129 (2012).

Linearized self-energy and quasiparticle Hamiltonian



https://www.lptmc.jussieu.fr/users/dupuis

Nonlocal Hamiltonian II: Wannier-interpolation of HQP

- The more localized orthonormal basis set \rightarrow the sparser H matrix in the R space
- With localized basis set, hopping energy are essentially 0 beyond a few neighbours.
- If the supercell defined by k-grid is larger than the hopping range (R₀), we can interpolate the bands at an arbitrary k point

$$\begin{split} H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{R}^{c}) &= \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{k^{c}} H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{c}} \\ H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{k}^{f}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{f}} H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{R}^{f}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{f}} \\ &= \sum_{|\mathbf{R}^{f}| \leq R_{0}} H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{R}^{f}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{f}} + \sum_{|\mathbf{R}^{f}| > R_{0}} H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{R}^{f}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{f}} \\ &= \sum_{|\mathbf{R}^{c}| \leq R_{0}} H_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{R}^{c}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{c}} \\ \end{split}$$

[1] N. Marzari, A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, I. Souza, and D. Vanderbilt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1419 (2012).

Nonlocal Hamiltonian III: Nonlocal LQSGW

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \simeq \widetilde{\Sigma}^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) + \widetilde{\Sigma}^{dyn}(i\omega_n) \to G^{-1}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = i\omega_n - \left(\underbrace{H_0 + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{non-local}(\mathbf{k})) + \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{dyn}(i\omega_n))}_{= H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k})}\right)$$

We choose nonlocal Hamiltonian by requiring that G is G_{LQSGW} when $\Sigma^{dyn} = \Sigma^{imp} = \Sigma^{DC}$

$$\begin{split} G &\simeq \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \left(H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbb{E}(\Sigma^{DC}(\omega=0)) + \mathbb{E}((1-\widetilde{Z}_{DC}^{-1})i\omega_n)\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_{DC}^{-1}i\omega_n - (H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbb{E}(\Sigma^{DC}(\omega=0)))} \\ &= \frac{Z_{DC}}{i\omega_n - \sqrt{Z_{DC}}(H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbb{E}(\Sigma^{DC}(\omega=0)))\sqrt{Z_{DC}}} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \sqrt{Z_{DC}}(H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbb{E}(\Sigma^{DC}(\omega=0)))\sqrt{Z_{DC}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\omega_n - H^{LQSGW}(\mathbf{k})} \\ &H^{non-local}(\mathbf{k}) = Z_{DC}^{-1/2}H^{LQSGW}(\mathbf{k})Z_{DC}^{-1/2} - \mathbb{E}(\Sigma^{DC}(\omega=0)) \end{split}$$

[1] J. M. Tomczak, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 592, 012055 (2015).

Bosonic Weiss Field: constrained random phase approximation



F. Aryasetiawan, M. Imada, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, S. Biermann, A.I. Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. B 70 (19) (2004) 195104, [2] F. Aryasetiawan, K. Karlsson, O. Jepsen, U. Schönberger, Phys. Rev. B 74 (12) (2006) 125106 [3] T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, Phys. Rev. B 77 (8) (2008) 085122, [4] P. Werner, M. Casula, T. Miyake, F. Aryasetiawan, A.J. Millis, S. Biermann, Nat. Phys. 8 (4) (2012) 331–337

Double counting self-energy



$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{i,j}^{DC}(i\omega_n) &= -\sum_{k,l} 2\widetilde{G}_{k,l}(\tau = -\delta)\widetilde{U}_{i,j,k,l}(i\nu_n = 0) \\ &- \sum_{k,l} \int d\tau \widetilde{G}_{k,l}(\tau) \widetilde{W}_{loc,i,k,l,j}(\tau) e^{i\omega_n \tau}, \\ \widetilde{W}_{i,j,k,l}(i\nu_n) &= \widetilde{U}_{i,j,k,l}(i\nu_n) + \sum_{m,n,p,q} \widetilde{U}_{i,j,m,n}(i\nu_n) \widetilde{P}_{m,n,p,q}(i\omega_n) \widetilde{W}_{p,q,k,l}(i\omega_n) \\ \widetilde{P}_{i,j,k,l}(i\omega_n) &= 2 \int d\tau \widetilde{G}_{i,l}(\tau) \widetilde{G}_{j,k}(-\tau) e^{i\omega\tau} \end{split}$$

LQSGW+DMFT self-consistent equation

Validation: FeSb₂ bandstructure



- FeSb₂: narrow-gap correlated semiconductor
- Colossal thermopower up to 45mV/K at 10K and a record-high thermoelectric power factor of 2300µW/K²cm [1] A. Chikina, ... S.Choi, et al., Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023190 (2020).

Validation: La2CuO4



[1]N. Nucker, et.al., Z. Physik B – Condensed Matter 67, 9 (1987).
[2]R. Zimmermann, et.al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 1657 (1999).
[3] S. Choi, et al., npj Quantum Materials 1, 16001 (2016).

Validation: Fe-based superconductors





Minjae Kim

[1] M. Kim, S. Choi, W. H. Brito, and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 136504 (2024).

We released open-source ab initio package for correlated quantum materials



ComDMFT: A massively parallel computer package for the electronic structure of correlated-electron systems *

Sangkook Choi^{a,*}, Patrick Semon^a, Byungkyun Kang^a, Andrey Kutepov^a, Gabriel Kotliar^{a,b}

^a Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA ^b Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, NJ 08854, USA

		🖒 Edit Pins 👻	⊙ Unwatch 3 👻	⁸⁴⁹ Fork 14 ▼ ☆ Star 31 ▼	
🐉 master 👻 🤔 8 Branches 🛇 0 Tags		t Add file 👻	<> Code •	About	
🍘 vsacksteder All content and checkins that originated from Vincent Sacksteder are 🚥 58e21b9 - 9 months ago 🕥 18 Commits			() 18 Commits	ComDMFT is an ab initio code for simulating correlated quantum material with crystalline symmetry. It combines the LQSGW code's DFT or qsGW	
All content and checkins that originated from used in the 2021 Comsuite					
2021School_tutorials_input	This version of Comsuite was used			calculations with an impurity problem describing strong on-atom correlations The impurity problem is set up using	
ComCTQMC	Change the package name back to	its original name, Com			
ComCoulomb	This version of Comsuite was used			DMFT and the ComCTQMC impurity	
ComDC	Change the package name back to	its original name, Com		solver. □ Readme 4b GPL-3.0, Unknown licenses found ^r Activity □ Custom properties ☆ 3 vatching	
ComLowH	This version of Comsuite was used		2 years ago		
ComRISB	This version of Comsuite was used	in the 2021 Comsuite			
ComWann	This version of Comsuite was used		2 years ago		
bin	disable lines in flapwmpbt_ini.py re	elated to pymatgen ver	last year		
D gw	name change for the LQSGW code				
tutorials	version 1.2		3 years ago	Report repository	

- Various flavors (DFT+DMFT and LQSGW+DMFT, tradeoff between speed and accuracy)
- GPU-accelerated
- For the GW/LDA part of the GW+DMFT/LDA+DMFT scheme, the code Flapw/MBPT was used.

S. Choi+, P. Semon, B. Kang, A. Kutepov, and G. Kotliar, CPC. 244, 277 (2019) [2] A. L. Kutepov, V. S. Oudovenko, and G. Kotliar, CPC 219, 407 (2017).
 A. Kutepov, S. Y. Savrasov, and G. Kotliar, PRB 80, 041103 (2009). [4] A. Kutepov, K. Haule, S. Y. Savrasov, and G. Kotliar, PRB 85, 155129 (2012). [4]
 B. Kang, P. Semon, C. Melnick, G. Kotliar, and S. Choi, arXiv:2310.04613.
5. Full GW+EDMFT

Demonstration using model Hamiltonian: Charge Order and Hund Metal Physics

b

Charged ordered phase could be a common neighbor of a SC phase



Extended Hund-Hubbard model (3 orbital and 2 electron) Pnictides/chalcogenides Hund metal physics $\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \nu, \sigma} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\sigma} c_{j\gamma\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) - \mu \sum_{i, \gamma, \sigma} n_{i\gamma\sigma} + H_{\text{loc}} + H_{\text{nonloc}}$ Structural transition Charge order physics Ν Nematic $H_{\mathsf{loc}} = U_{i,\gamma,\sigma} n_{i\gamma\uparrow} n_{i\gamma\downarrow} + (U - 2J) \sum_{i,\gamma,\gamma'}^{\gamma \neq \gamma'} n_{i\gamma\uparrow} n_{i\gamma'\downarrow} + (U - 3J) \sum_{i,\gamma,\gamma',\sigma}^{\gamma < \gamma'} n_{i\gamma\sigma} n_{i\gamma\sigma} n_{i\gamma'\sigma}$ Co-existence order SDW $-J\sum_{i,\nu,\nu'}^{\gamma\neq\gamma'} \Big(c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\uparrow} c_{i\gamma\downarrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma'\downarrow} c_{i\gamma'\uparrow} + c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\downarrow} c_{i\gamma'\uparrow} c_{i\gamma'\downarrow} \Big).$ SC SC SC Holes Electrons $H_{\text{nonloc}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \gamma, \gamma', \sigma, \sigma'} V n_{i\gamma\sigma} n_{j\gamma'\sigma'}.$

[1] S. Ryee, P. Sémon, M. J. Han, and S. Choi, Npj Quantum Mater. 5, 1 (2020).

Phase boundary of H_{int}



Valence-skipping charge order

- negative effective U
- Implication for unconventional superconductor pairing

Phase boundary of full Hamiltonian within GW+EDMFT



Enhancement of the charge-order instability due to Hund metal physics

only observable within GW+EDMFT

Importance of treating local and nonlocal correlation on equal footing.

Charge-order=> nonlocal correlation Hund metal=> local correlation

Three different self-consistent loops in GW+EDMFT

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{H} &= GV \\ & \Sigma_{GW} = -GW \\ & \Pi_{GW} = GG \\ G &= \{G_{H}^{-1} - \Sigma_{GW} - \hat{E}^{f} (\Sigma_{EDMFT} - \Sigma_{DC})\}^{-1} \\ & W = \{V^{-1} - \Pi_{GW} - \hat{E}^{b} (\Pi_{EDMFT} - \Pi_{DC})\}^{-1} \\ & \widetilde{G}_{loc} = \hat{P}^{f}(G) \\ & \widetilde{W}_{loc} = \hat{P}^{b}(W) \\ & \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC} = -\widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{W}_{loc} \\ & \widetilde{\Pi}_{DC} = \widetilde{G}_{loc}\widetilde{G}_{loc} \\ \end{split}$$

Fully self-consistent GW+EDMFT is here, finally!!!

ComDMFT v.2.0: Fully Self-Consistent *ab initio* GW+EDMFT for the Electronic Structure of Correlated Quantum Materials



Byungkyun Kang^{a,b}, Patrick Semon^a, Corey Melnick^a, Gabriel Kotliar^{a,c}, Sangkook Choi^{a,d,*}

 ^aCondensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA
 ^bCollege of Arts and Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA
 ^cDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, NJ 08854, USA
 ^dSchool of Computational Sciences, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea

[1] B. Kang, P. Semon, C. Melnick, G. Kotliar, and S. Choi, ComDMFT v.2.0: Fully Self-Consistent Ab Initio GW+EDMFT for the Electronic Structure of Correlated Quantum Materials, arXiv:2310.04613.

Full GW+EDMFT

- Full self-consistency
- No adjustable parameters
- G and W interpolation
- Wannier functions and their orthonormalized product basis
- Causal optimization on $\widetilde{P}_{\textit{imp}}$ and \widetilde{U}
- Built on top of FlapwMBPT, Wannier90 and ComCTQMC

[1] A. L. Kutepov, V. S. Oudovenko, and G. Kotliar, Comp. Phy. Comm. **219**, 407 (2017).

[2] C. Melnick, P. Sémon, K. Yu, N. D'Imperio, A.-M.

Tremblay, and G. Kotliar, Comp. Phys. Comm. **267**, 108075 (2021).

[3] M. Han and H. J. Choi, Phys. Rev. B **104**, 115112 (2021).



Hilbert space and its subspaces for Full GW+EDMFT



- F: full space - F= Tier-I \bigoplus Tier-II \bigoplus Tier-III - notation: A(r, r')
- L: Low-energy subspace defined by Wannier function spanning an energy window (E $_{\rm F}\pm 10 eV$)
 - L=Tier-I Tier-II
 - notation: \bar{A}_{ij} , i,j=> Wannier functions
- C: correlated subspace
 - C=Tier-I
 - notation: \widetilde{A}_{ij} , i,j=> Wannier functions

Tier-I: GW+EDMFT Tier-II: GW Tier-III: GW

Frequency interpolation

• To study materials properties at temperature <1000K, we use two different simulation temperatures



G_{GW} and W_{GW} in coarse k-grid

- Modification on FlapwMBPT (Andrey Kutepov)
- •LAPW basis set
- •space-time methods (to avoid convolution)
- •calculation in a coarse Matsubara frequency grid (typical simulation temperature ~ 1000K)
- •calculation in a coarse momentum space grid (e.g. NiO: $6 \times 6 \times 6$)

Fermionic projection operator

- The formulation within Wannier90 package is based on one-particle picture
- We construct quasiparticle Hamiltonian by linearizing GW self-energy

$$Z_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = \left(1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, \omega)}{\partial \omega}\Big|_{\omega=0}\right)^{-1} \longrightarrow E_{n\mathbf{k}^{c}}^{QP}, |n\mathbf{k}^{c}\rangle \longrightarrow A_{mn}(\mathbf{k}^{c}), U_{mn}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, \mathbf{b}^{c})$$

$$H^{QP}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = Z^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) (H_{0}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) + \Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, \omega = 0)) Z^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^{c})$$

$$|\tau\mathbf{k}^{c}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathbf{k}^{c}}}} \sum_{R^{c}} e^{i\mathbf{k}^{c} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{c}} |\tau\mathbf{R}^{c}\rangle$$

$$f(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = \langle n\mathbf{k}^{c} | \tau\mathbf{k}^{c}\rangle$$

$$WANNIER90$$

Bosonic projection operator

• With products of Wannier functions for correlated orbitals

$$D_{\tau,\tau'}(\mathbf{r}) = W_{R^c=0,\tau}(\mathbf{r})W_{R^c=0,\tau'}^*(\mathbf{r}) \qquad W_{R^c,\tau}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | \tau \mathbf{R}^c \rangle$$

• Orthonormalized product basis can be represented as a linear combination of the product C

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | B_I \rangle = \sum_{\tau, \tau'} X_{\tau, \tau'; I} D_{\tau, \tau'}(\mathbf{r})$$

• The coefficient X can be calculated by diagonalizing the overlap matrix of C

$$O_{\tau_1,\tau_2;\tau_3,\tau_4} = \langle D_{\tau_1,\tau_2} | D_{\tau_3,\tau_4} \rangle$$

$$\sum_{\tau_3,\tau_4} O_{\tau_1,\tau_2;\tau_3,\tau_4} V_{\tau_3,\tau_4;I} = F_I V_{\tau_1,\tau_2;I}$$

$$X_{\tau_1,\tau_2;I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_I}} V_{\tau_1,\tau_2;I}$$

GW Green's functions-II: fine k-grid

- To improve the momentum space resolution, we interpolate G_{GW} and W_{GW} obtained from FlapwMBPT
- With localized basis set, hopping energy and screened Coulomb interaction are essentially 0 beyond a few neighbors.

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{c},i\omega_{n}^{c}) &= \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{k^{c}} G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\omega_{n}^{c}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}^{c}\cdot\mathbf{R}^{c}} \\ G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{c}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{f}} G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{c}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f}\cdot\mathbf{R}^{f}} \\ &= \sum_{|\mathbf{R}^{f}| \leq R_{0}} G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{c}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f}\cdot\mathbf{R}^{f}} + \sum_{|\mathbf{R}^{f}| > R_{0}} G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{c}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f}\cdot\mathbf{R}^{f}} \\ &= \sum_{|\mathbf{R}^{c}| \leq R_{0}} G_{\tau,\tau'}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{c},i\omega_{n}^{c}) e^{i\mathbf{k}^{f}\cdot\mathbf{R}^{c}} \end{aligned}$$

[1] N. Marzari, A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, I. Souza, and D. Vanderbilt, Maximally Localized Wannier Functions: Theory and Applications, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1419 (2012).

For Green's function

For screened Coulomb interaction

$$\begin{split} \overline{G}_{GW}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\omega_{n}^{c}) &= \overline{f}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}^{c})G_{GW}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\omega_{n}^{c})\overline{f}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) \\ \overline{G}_{GW}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\omega_{n}^{c}) \rightarrow \overline{G}_{GW}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{f}) \\ \overline{G}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{f}) &= \left(\overline{G}_{GW}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{f}) + \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{k}^{c})\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_{n}^{f}) - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(i\omega_{n}^{f})\right)\widetilde{f}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}^{c})\right)^{-1} \\ \widetilde{G}_{loc}(i\omega_{n}^{f}) &= \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}^{f}}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{f}}\widetilde{f}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}^{f})\overline{G}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\omega_{n}^{f})\widetilde{f}(\mathbf{k}^{f}) \\ \overline{W}_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\nu_{n}^{c}) &= \overline{b}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}^{c})W_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\nu_{n}^{c})\overline{b}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) \\ \overline{W}_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c},i\nu_{n}^{c}) \rightarrow \overline{W}_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\nu_{n}^{f}) \\ \overline{W}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\nu_{n}^{f}) &= \left(\overline{W}_{GW}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^{f},i\nu_{n}^{f}) + \widetilde{b}(\mathbf{k}^{c})\left(\widetilde{P}_{imp}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) - \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\nu_{n}^{f})\right)\widetilde{b}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}^{c})\right)^{-1} \end{split}$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{loc}(i\omega_n^f) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{k}^f}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^f} \widetilde{b}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}^f) \overline{W}(\mathbf{k}^f, i\nu_n^f) \widetilde{b}(\mathbf{k}^f)$$

Quantum Embedding

Double Counting



$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(i\omega_n^f) = -\int_0^{\beta^f} d\tau \widetilde{G}_{loc}(\tau) \circ \widetilde{W}_{loc}(-\tau) e^{i\omega_n^f \tau}$$



$$\widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^f) = -\int_0^{\beta^f} d\tau \widetilde{G}_{loc}(\tau) \circ \widetilde{G}_{loc}(-\tau) e^{i\nu_n^f \tau}$$

DMFT effective action

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(i\omega_{n}^{f}) = \left(\widetilde{G}_{loc}^{-1}(i\omega_{n}^{f}) + \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_{n}^{f})\right)^{-1}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) = \left(\widetilde{W}_{loc}^{-1}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) + \widetilde{P}_{imp}(i\nu_{n}^{f})\right)^{-1}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) - \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) - \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(i\nu_{n}^{f})$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{imp}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(i\nu_{n}^{f}) - \widetilde{W}_{imp}^{-1}(i\nu_{n}^{f})$$

- •The lead developer: Corey Melnick
- •The first developer: Patrick Semon
- •continuous-time Monte Carlo solver (hybridization-expansion)
- •GPU-accelerated

Causal Optimization of Bosonic Quantities

Statistical noise



Local self-energy assumption breakdown

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1}(i\nu_n) = \left[\langle W \rangle^{-1} + \langle \Pi \rangle \right] + \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{cor}^{-1},$$

Causal Bosonic functions

$$G(i\omega_n) = \int \frac{B(x)x}{i\omega_n - x} dx, \quad B(x) \ge 0, \quad B(x) = B(-x)$$
$$G(\tau) = -\int B(x)xn_B(x)e^{(\beta - \tau)x} dx, \quad B(x) \ge 0,$$
$$G^{(2k)}(\tau) \le 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad G(\tau) = G(\beta - \tau)$$

For a given non-causal $G(i\omega_n)$, search causal $G_c(i\omega_n)$ which minimize the distance defined as

$$d = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\beta [G(\tau) - G_c(\tau)]^2 d\tau$$

M. Han and H. J. Choi, Phys. Rev. B 104, 115112 (2021). [2] J. Chen, F. Petocchi, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. B 105, 085102 (2022).
 S. Backes, J.-H. Sim, and S. Biermann, arXiv:2011.05311v1

Feedback to GW Green's functions

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_n^f) &\to \widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_n^c) \qquad \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(i\omega_n^f) \to \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \\ \widetilde{P}_{imp}(i\omega_n^c) \to \widetilde{P}_{imp}(i\omega_n^c) & \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \to \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \\ \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \to \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \to \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \\ \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \to \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \to \widetilde{P}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c) \\ \widetilde{P}_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) = \left(G_0^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) - \Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c)\right)^{-1} \\ \widetilde{P}_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) = -\sum_{\mathbf{R}^c} \int_0^{\beta^c} d\tau G(\mathbf{R}^c, \tau) \circ W(-\mathbf{R}^c, -\tau) e^{-i(\mathbf{k}^c \cdot \mathbf{R}^c - \omega_n^c \tau)} \\ \widetilde{P}_{CW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) = \Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) + \mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{\Sigma}_{imp}(i\omega_n^c) - \widetilde{\Sigma}_{DC}(i\omega_n^c)\right) \\ \widetilde{P}_{CW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) = (V^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^c) - P_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c))^{-1} \\ \widetilde{P}_{CW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c) = (V^{-1}(\mathbf{k}^c) - P_{CW}(\mathbf{k}^c, i\omega_n^c))^{-1}$$



Validation-SrVO3

T:1000K Correlated space: V-3d Low-energy space: $E_F \pm 10 \text{ eV}$ (20 orbitals) K-grid: $10 \times 10 \times 10$

SrVO3, a classical test material for electron correlation



Europhys. Lett. 100, 67001 (2012). [2] Phys. Rev. B 90, 165138 (2014). [3] Phys. Rev. B 94, 201106(R) (2016). [4] Phys. Rev. Mater. 1, 043803 (2017).
 Phys. Rev. B 52, 13711 (1995). [6] Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 156402 (2004). [7] Phys. Rev. B 82, 085119 (2010). [8] Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 176403 (2004). [9] Phys. Rev. B 73, 155112 (2006). [10] Phys. Rev. B 74, 125120 (2006). [11] Phys. Rev. B 94, 241110 (2016). [12] Phys. Rev. B 88, 235110 (2013). [13] Phys. Rev. B 87, 155147 (2013). [14] Phys. Rev. Research 2, 013191 (2020).



[1] T. Mitsuhashi, M. Minohara, R. Yukawa, M. Kitamura, K. Horiba, M. Kobayashi, and H. Kumigashira, Phys. Rev. B 94, 125148 (2016).

PDOS



GW+EDMFT



LQSGW+DMFT and GW+EDMFT show quantitatively similar results Within GW+EDMFT, no sign of low-energy t_{2g} subpeak and no sign of high-energy t_{2g} subpeak

Effect of GW self-consistency

GW band at 1st iteration

GW band at the last iteration



 $H^{QP}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = Z^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) \left(H_{0}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) + \Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, \omega = 0) \right) Z^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^{c})$

 G^{GW} didn't change much from the first iteration=> The effect of GW self-consistency is small

Hybridization functions



Within GW+EDMFT, Δ is nonzero until E>2.5KeV . In contrast, Δ within LQSGW+DMFT goes to 0 at ~200eV

Bosonic Weiss field



Within GW+EDMFT, $U(i\omega_n)$ reaches to bare value at ~ 10eV. In contrast, $U(i\omega_n)$ within cRPA@LQSGW increases much slowly.

Impurity self-energy



- LQSGW+DMFT as well as GW+EDMFT shows Z=0.5 for t2g orbitals, consistent with Exp
- Despite the discrepancy in Deltal and U between the two methods, their Z factor is surprisingly similar.

Double-counting self-energy



• Within LQSGW+DMFT as well as GW+EDMFT shows $Z_{imp} \sim Z_{DC}$, implying $\Sigma_{imp} \simeq \Sigma_{DC}$

Validation-II: charge transfer insulator NiO



- Archetypical charge-transfer insulator
- Correlated orbitals: 5 Ni-d orbitals
- Simulation temperature: 1000K

[1] G. A. Sawatzky and J. W. Allen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2339 (1984).



Validation-I: spectral functions



Charge transfer gap opening

Validation-I: PDOS



- Peak separation of ~6eV
- Mott gap

Effect of GW self-consistency



GW band at the last iteration



 $H^{QP}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) = Z^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) \left(H_{0}(\mathbf{k}^{c}) + \Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{k}^{c}, \omega = 0) \right) Z^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^{c})$

 G^{GW} has been changed substantially

Hybridization functions



Within GW+EDMFT, Δ is nonzero until E>2.5KeV . In contrast, Δ within LQSGW+DMFT goes to 0 at ~200eV

Bosonic Weiss field



Within GW+EDMFT, $U(i\omega_n)$ changes more rapidly

Impurity self-energy



• LQSGW+DMFT as well as GW+EDMFT shows Z=0.7 for t2g orbitals

Mott gap

Double-counting self-energy


Conclusion

• Full GW+EDMFT is a promising method to understand and predict the properties of correlated quantum materials from first principles.

• ComDMFT package is an *ab initio* package to support ab initio DMFT methodologies and is constantly evolving.

If you are interested in this research direction, feel free to contact me:)

sangkookchoi@kias.re.kr https://sites.google.com/view/sangkookchoi